

# Case #1



## **AUTONOMY CHALLENGE**

# Case #1

## Autonomy



- Patient: 93 yo AA female
- Out patient clinic
- Requested by: PMD
- Patient religion: Baptist
- **Reason for consult:** Patient refusing replacement of pacemaker; PMD believes this is low risk beneficial treatment and feels he would be complicit in her suicide if he honors her refusal.

# Case #1

## Autonomy



- Clinical status of patient: 93 yo living at home with son and daughter-in-law; decrease in eating, increase in sleeping; found to have non-working pacemaker and bradycardia. PMH of moderate CHF and anemia. PMD recommends low risk procedure to replace pacemaker.
- Patient's decision making capacity: She has always been “sharp as a tack” and remains cognitively aware and appropriate. She reads the paper and watches old movies most of the day. She does not lack decision-making capacity.
- Patient's wishes: Adamantly refuses procedure. States she has lived long, fruitful life but it's her “time to go”. She is tired.
- Ethical analysis? What would you want to know? Does her autonomy automatically rule? Benefits vs. burdens?

# Case #2

## Balancing Principles



- **Autonomy vs. Beneficence vs. Non-Maleficence**
  - 76 yo male – chronic Afib – chose to refuse blood thinning meds after doctors told him to give up biking
  - Patient had Living Will stating “if I cannot recover to a meaningful quality of life” I wish to forgo all life-sustaining treatments”.
  - Patient named his wife as his health care proxy and son as alternate
  - Patient suffered large MCA embolic stroke
  - Day three after failed embolectomy – wife demands removal from vent and comfort care
  - Neuro team gives prognosis: Patient has good potential to recover; but will have significant disabilities

## Case #3

# Culture, Race and Ethnicity in Health Care



- 84 yo Pilipino male visiting his daughter in America is brought to hospital and diagnosed with stage IV lung cancer with liver mets; no benefit from any “curative” attempts; but doctors recommend palliative care and d/c to hospice care
- Wife and daughter request the medical/nursing staff “not to tell the patient he has cancer” because it will take away all hope and happiness and our belief is that the family should carry the burden
- Doctor calls for ethics consult stating “I cannot send patient home on hospice w/o his knowledge about prognosis and diagnosis”

## Case #4

# Decision-making capacity



- 88 yo female resident of long term care
- Medical history of CVA's, CHF and moderate dementia
- Routine physical discovered breast lump; biopsy showing breast cancer
- Patient given full disclosure of finds; patient refuses any surgical procedure stating her reasons "I've lived a long and good life; I prefer to avoid any hospitalizations and procedures regardless of the outcome; if I suffer pain/discomfort I prefer medications to relieve
- 30 minutes after discussion, patient forgot she had breast lump; when told again, she repeated the above refusal and rationale.

## Case #5

# Informed consent and refusal



- 62 yo male w/ schizoaffective disorder x 42 years; currently compliant with meds, but institutionalized in previous years when non-compliant. Has DM with charcot foot\* and non-healing wound from procedure on foot
- Lives alone in apartment; unemployed on disability
- Mother, age 83, involved in his life and care
- No advance directive; no guardian; patient has been making his own decisions
- Medical team strongly recommends amputation of charcot foot
- Patient adamantly refuses

## Case #6

# Surrogate Decision Making



- 57 yo female w/ end stage COPD on ventilator; unable to wean – prognosis poor for survival
- 30 year common law\* marriage to spouse at bedside; spouse wants to withdraw vent and allow her to die peacefully (based upon his knowledge of her wishes)
- Patient originally from Cuba – brother in Florida arrives at hospital and demands to be decision-maker and wants “everything done” – trach/PEG/LTAC

*\*No legal recognition of common law in NJ*



# Shared Decision-Making Case #7



- Impact of decision-making on family members
- Autonomy model



# Quotes



- **“I think all the time that I made the wrong decision to withdraw the ventilator. I question whether I did the right think. It was only three weeks and maybe I should have tried longer. Maybe the doctors were wrong. Maybe she could have lived. Maybe she could have gotten better. I think about it all the time.”**



# Quotes



- “I just wish that I had not been forced to make a life and death decision for my dad. You never forget that. It was a horrible thing to have to do. I often wonder if I was right or wrong and I’ll never know.”



# Quotes



- “I felt like I had to know everything about my wife’s illness in order to make these decisions. I went on the internet and asked all of my friends who knew anything about medicine. It was a terrible burden.”



# Quotes



- “I did what I thought was best, but my sister now makes me feel guilty and I am angry that she couldn’t support me in my decision to put in the feeding tube for mom.”
- “Well, my sister is still part of the family, but we don’t communicate anymore because of our decision to enroll dad in hospice. No birthday cards, no nothing.”



# What is an Advance Directive?

## Case #8



- Written expression of patient's preferences about medical interventions under given circumstances and/or appointment of health care proxy
- Can be completed by persons who have decision-making capacity and are =>age 18 (adults)
- Is for “future” medical situations – is operative only:
  - *When hospital/MD receives AD*
  - *When patient determined to have lost decisional capacity*
  - *When diagnosis and prognosis determined*
  - *When time to evaluate patient's wishes*

# Advance Directives

## Language Matters - #8



- “meaningful quality of life”
- “reasonable chance of recovery”
- “terminal illness”
- “irreversible”
- “if I am brain dead” ....
- “if burdens outweigh benefits”
- “if I am a vegetable....”

How can we make these documents more effective

# How is POLST different than an advance directive?



- Targeted EOL population – limited life expectancy (< 1 year)
- Does not require loss of decision-making capacity to be acted upon
- Does not require interpretation, diagnosis, prognosis first
- Not limited to adults
- Applies immediately at point of contact – Actionable Orders
- Is a **medical order** to be filled out by the practitioner (Physician or Nurse Practitioner in NJ)
- Can be created by the practitioner **with** the patient or the patient's surrogate if the patient lacks capacity



## Case #9

# Maternal-Fetal Issues



- 43 yo female, 24 weeks pregnant s/p IVF with twins, suffers large ICH, now comatose with no chance for meaningful recovery
- Patient has Living Will requesting no artificial life supports (including ventilator) in event of no reasonable chance for recovery to cognitive state
- Husband devastated; requests removal of ventilator in keeping with her wishes
- Neonatal MD states babies are “well” and could survive; much better prognosis for babies if patient maintained on ventilator as long as possible

# Case #10

## Elderly patients



- Request for ethics consultation for 92 yo male with severe aortic stenosis, CHF and failure to thrive; daughter demanding “everything be done” including PEG tube feedings to improve nutrition
- Upon visit with patient (daughter not present), patient appeared to be confused; discovery that patient was very hard of hearing;
- With personal hearing aid device, patient able to understand and communicate with team; patient expressly requesting to go back to nursing home on comfort care with no PEG feeding tube.
- Daughter counseled on patient’s rights to be self determined

# Case #11

## VSED (Options of Last Resort)



- 30 yo quadriplegic
- Diving accident age 24
- Hope for stem cell research finding a “cure”
- Given up hope; wants to know how to die
- Only legal option in NJ – VSED
- Literature states “peaceful way to die” – very little studies on young patients w/o cancer or dementia
- Barriers to a good death