

A Crisis Agenda: The State of Maternal Health and Perinatal Safety Priorities

JUNE 4TH,2018



STEERING COMMITTEE LEADS



Andrew Rubenstein, MD NJPQC Steering Committee Chair NTSV Initiative Clinical Co-Lead

Robyn D'Oria MA, RNC, APN NJPQC Steering Committee Co-Chair NJ AIM Initiative Clinical Co-Lead



Changing Landscape

- Deliveries of minority women represent about 55% of all NJ births in 2017
- Rising single and multiple co-morbidities
- Maternal age
- Non-standardized practice within obstetrics and the postpartum period



Trends in severe maternal morbidity during delivery hospitalizations in the US, 1998-2011



According to CDC, about 700 women die each year in the united states as a result of pregnancy or delivery complications

Women in the US are more likely to die from childbirth or pregnancy-related causes than other women in the developed world

Research suggests that **half of these deaths are preventable**

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Severe maternal morbidity in the United States. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/deaths.htm</u> https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pregnancy-relatedmortality.htm



Causes of Pregnancy-related Deaths, 2011-2013



Content source: Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pmss.html



Disparities in Mortality

The US pregnancy-related mortality ratios: (deaths per 100,000 births)

| 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|------|------|------|
| 17.8 | 15.9 | 17.3 |

During 2011–2013, the pregnancyrelated mortality ratios by race/ethnicity were:

| White | Black | Other Races |
|-------|-------|--------------------|
| 12.7 | 43.5 | 14.4 |

Racial/ethnic disparities exist in obstetric care, maternal morbidity and maternal mortality

Pregnancy-related Mortality by Race and Hispanic Ethnicity, 2006–2013



PMSS: Pregnancy Mortality Surveillance System









What is AIM?

- AIM is funded through a cooperative agreement with the Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB)-Health Resource Services Administration.
- The purpose of the AIM program is to equip, empower and embolden every state, perinatal quality collaborative, hospital network/system, birth facility and maternity care provider in the U.S to significantly reduce severe maternal morbidity and maternal mortality through proven implementation of consistent maternity care practices that are outlined in maternal safety bundles (action systems)

http://safehealthcareforeverywoman.org



AIM States



ALLIANCE FOR INNOVATION ON MATERNAL HEALTH C

- 1. CALIFORNIA
- 2. FLORIDA
- 3. GEORGIA
- 4. ILLINOIS
- 5. LOUISIANA
- 6. MARYLAND
- 7. MICHIGAN
- 8. MISSISSIPPI
- 9. NEW JERSEY
- 10. NEW YORK
- 11. NORTH CAROLINA
- 12. OKLAHOMA
- 13. SOUTH CAROLINA
- 14. TENNESSEE
- 15. TEXAS
- 16. UTAH
- 17. VIRGINIA
- 18. WEST VIRGINIA

National Improvement Video Challenge

There's still time to submit a video for the National Improvement Video Challenge!

The National Improvement Video Challenge is an innovative opportunity to showcase how your institution implements a component of a patient safety bundle.

Submissions are due June 15, 2018



2017 Report from Maternal Mortality Review Committees: A View Into Their Critical Role

Seven causes account for 72.2% of all pregnancy-related deaths



Non-Hispanic white:

- •Hemorrhage, mental health conditions
- •Cardiovascular and coronary conditions
- •Cardiomyopathy, infection

Among non-Hispanic Black:

- Cardiomyopathy, embolism, preeclampsia and eclampsia
- Cardiovascular and coronary conditions
- Hemorrhage





Maternal Mortality and Comorbidity-NJ 1999-2013



---- No Chronic Conditions ----- One or More Chronic Conditions ---- Linear (No Chronic Conditions) ---- Linear (One or More Chronic Conditions)



Pregnancy-related Maternal Death Rate by Race, 2009-2013









New Jersey AIM Initiative

As an AIM state, NJPQC will be supporting New Jersey birthing hospitals to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality through the implementation of the **Obstetric Hemorrhage** and **Severe Hypertension** bundles.

Goals:

- To reduce severe maternal morbidity and mortality related to obstetric hemorrhage and hypertension among women who give birth in New Jersey
- To guide and support obstetric care providers and birthing facilities in New Jersey in implementing evidence-based, collaborative, patient-centered practices to prevent and manage obstetric hemorrhage and hypertension

Participation with the New Jersey AIM project is voluntary. Hospitals are open to focus on either hemorrhage, hypertension, or both safety bundles. Participating hospitals will receive expert guidance, tools, data reports and resources.



NJ AIM Participating Hospitals

| 26 Hospitals | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| AtlantiCare Regional Medical Center, Mainland Campus | Jersey City Medical Center | | | |
| CarePoint Health Christ Hospital | Monmouth Medical Center | | | |
| CarePoint Health Hoboken University Medical Center | Morristown Medical Center | | | |
| CentraState Healthcare System | Our Lady of Lourdes Medical Center | | | |
| Chilton Medical Center | Penn Medicine Princeton Medical Center | | | |
| Clara Maass Medical Center | Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital | | | |
| Community Medical Center | Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital Somerset | | | |
| Cooper University Health Care | Saint Barnabas Medical Center | | | |
| Hackensack Meridian Health Hackensack University | | | | |
| Medical Center | St. Joseph's University Medical Center | | | |
| Hackensack Meridian Health JFK Medical Center | The Valley Hospital | | | |
| Hackensack Meridian Health Raritan Bay Medical Center | | | | |
| Perth Amboy | University Hospital | | | |
| Hackensack Meridian Health Riverview Medical Center | Virtua Memorial | | | |
| Holy Name Medical Center | Virtua Voorhees | | | |





NJ AIM Plan

- Bi-monthly content webinars covering different elements of each safety bundle
- As a data-driven initiative, the team will track:
 - Structure Measures collected only once
 - Process Measures collected quarterly
 - Outcome Measures –ICD 10 codes, from the New Jersey Discharge Data Collection System

Quarterly hospital Severe Maternal Morbidity data reports

- among all, OB hemorrhage, and sever hypertension cases
- Broken down by maternal race/ethnicity, age, payer, and primary language





NJ AIM Structure & Process Measures

Structure (once):

Completion/Start date for:

- Patient, Family & Staff Support
- Formal debrief process
- Multidisciplinary case reviews
- Hemorrhage cart
- Severe HTN policy and procedure
- EHR integration of recommended bundle processes

Process (quarterly):

Number of/estimated percent of:

- Unit drills & topics
- Provider education
- Nursing education
- Hemorrhage risk assessments
- QBL technique used
- Treatment of Severe HTN

NJ Severe Maternal Morbidity

- In 2016, the overall rate of severe maternal morbidity in NJ was 1.9%
- Range among hospitals: 0.16 5.6%
- Some studies, by Dr. Callaghan estimate severe maternal morbidity occurring at a rate of 0.5-1.3% of US pregnancies

| COLLABO | RATIVE | 1 10 10 1 | By Hospital, Y2016 | 12 - 57 (|
|---------|--------|---------------------|--|-----------|
| | P | Percent of Severe N | Aaternal Morbidity Cases per 100 De | liveries |
| 7749 | | | | |
| 1668 | | | Statewide, 1.89% | |
| 7674 | | | June 1 and 1 | |
| | 0.16% | | | |
| | 0.35 | 596 | | |
| | 0.4 | 1999 () | | |
| | 0.4 | | | |
| | 0.60% | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 0.74% | | | |
| | 0.78% | | | |
| | | 0.91% | | |
| 3069 | | 0.98% | | |
| 9173 | | 0.98% | | |
| 3448 | | 1.06% | | |
| 5706 | | 1.15% | | |
| 496.4 | | 1.22% | | |
| 5525 | | 1.23% | | |
| 2891 | | 1.27% | | |
| 5799 | | 1.29% | | |
| 8694 | A.C. | 1.40% | | |
| 752 | | 1.41% | | |
| 4940 | - | 1.42% | | |
| 6336 | E. | 1.50 | | |
| 4373 | - | 1.5 | 0.22 | |
| 570 | | 1.4 | 4% | |
| 779 | - | 1. | 64% | |
| 4247 | - | | 1.79% | |
| 990 | - | | 1.79% | |
| 3456 | | | 1.85% | |
| 5452 | - | | 1.86% | |
| 4929 | - | | 1.91% | |
| 4961 | 1 | | 1.94% | |
| 5574 | 2 | | 1.94% | |
| 9244 | 1 | | 1.97% | |
| 6447 | _ | | 1.99% | |
| 564 | | | 2.02% | |
| 986 | - | | 2.06% | |
| 1150 | - | | 2.06% | |
| 1804 | - | | 2.25% | |
| 2471 | - | | 2.35% | |
| 2871 | - | | 2.44% | |
| 2606 | - | | 2.60% | |

2.609

3.45%

5.589

Severe Maternal Morbidity

2016 NJ Severe Maternal Morbidity by Age, language, and payer





Source: New Jersey Discharge Data Collection System (NJDDCS)

2016 NJ Severe Maternal Morbidity by Race/Ethnicity









NJ AIM Webinar Recordings

AIM Kick-off webinar

December 6, 2017

Recording

AIM Data webinar

January 29, 2018 Recording AIM Sorting out the Debrief webinar March 26, 2018

Recording

Update on the NJ Perinatal Quality Improvement Maps Project May 29, 2018 Recording

US Cesarean Map Series



















Figure 1. Overall cesarean delivery and low-risk cesarean delivery: United States, final 1990–2012 and preliminary 2013





Why Focus on the NTSV Rate

- Nulliparity is a critical risk adjuster because it creates a standardized population that can be compared between providers, hospitals, states, etc.
- NTSV CS measure is already risk stratified
- NTSV is special in that it technically represents the most favorable conditions for vaginal birth, but also the most difficult labor management
- The NTSV population is the largest contributor to the recent rise in cesarean rates
- The NTSV population exhibits the greatest variation for all sub-populations of cesarean births for both hospitals and providers

"Still... my NTSV Patients are Higher Risk..."

- NTSV CS measure is already risk stratified
- However, African-American women continue to have higher NTSV cesarean rates than white women
- > Age and BMI clearly impact an individual's CS risk
- Formal risk-adjustment analysis using both age and BMI shows that over 2/3 hospitals realize less than 2% change
- Age and BMI effects may be provider dependent (more patience for obese women's labor)







By Katy Backes Kozhimannil, Michael R. Law, and Beth A. Virnig

Cesarean Delivery Rates Vary Tenfold Among US Hospitals; Reducing Variation May Address Quality And Cost Issues

ABSTRACT Cesarean delivery is the most commonly performed surgical procedure in the United States, and cesarean rates are increasing. Working with 2009 data from 593 US hospitals nationwide, we found that cesarean rates varied tenfold across hospitals, from 7.1 percent to 69.9 percent. Even for women with lower-risk pregnancies, in which more limited variation might be expected, cesarean rates varied fifteenfold, from 2.4 percent to 36.5 percent. Thus, vast differences in practice patterns are likely to be driving the costly overuse of cesarean delivery in many US hospitals. Because Medicaid pays for nearly half of US births, government efforts to decrease variation are warranted. We focus on four promising directions for reducing these variations, including better coordinating maternity care, collecting and measuring more data, tying Medicaid payment to quality improvement, and enhancing patient-centered decision making through public reporting. DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1030 HEALTH AFFAIRS 32, NO. 3 (2013): 527-535 ©2013 Project HOPE— The People-to-People Health Foundation, Inc.

Katy Backes Kozhimannil

(kbk@umn.edu) is an assistant professor in the Division of Health Policy and Management, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, in Minneapolis.

Michael R. Law is an assistant

professor in the Centre for Health Services and Policy Research, School of Population and Public Health, at the University of British Columbia, in Vancouver.

Beth A. Virnig is associate dean of research and a professor at the School of Public Health, University of Minnesota.



2016 NTSV C-sections

Percent of Low Risk C-section Deliveries by NJ Birthing Hospital Birth Certificate / Leapfrog Data

State BC Data (Avg= 30.3%)
Leapfrog Data (Avg= 29.3%)



Commonly Used Explanations for High C-Section Rate

- Mothers are getting older
- More multiples being born
- Babies are getting bigger
- Maternal health is worse: obesity, diabetes & hypertension
- Maternal request




Older Mothers?

Older Mothers? Total Cesarean Rates (per 100 births) by Age of Mother: United States, 1996 and 2012



Source: National vital statistics system, NCHS, CDC.

BirthByTheNumbers.org



More Multiples Being Born?



Source: National Center for Health Statistics Annual Birth Reports

Cesarean Rates for Multiple Births, U.S. 1990-2012





Are Babies Getting Bigger?

Are Babies Getting Bigger? % Singleton Babies by Birthweight, U. S., 1991-2012



BirthByTheNumbers.org

% Cesareans in <u>Singleton</u> Births, U.S. by Birthweight, 1991-2012





What about Mother's Health?

Prepregnancy Obesity, U.S. 2003, 2006, 2009, 2011 22% 20.5% 20.3% 19.5% 20% 17.6% 18% 16% 14% 12% 10% 2003 2006 2009 2011 Sources: 2003-2009 -- 5. Fisher, is obesity still increasing among pregnant women? Preventive Medicine 2013; 56: 372-378; 2011 - CDC VitalStats. BirthByTheNumbers.org

Mothers' Health?

Mothers' Health?

Cesarean Rate Singleton Births by Prepregnancy Weight Range, U.S. 2012





What about Mother's Health?

Mothers' Health? Diabetes* & Hypertension*, 1992,2002,2012



Mothers' Health?

Cesarean Rates, Singleton Births, U.S., 1996, 2004, 2012





Maternal Request?

- The incidence of cesarean delivery on maternal request and its contribution to the overall increase in the cesarean delivery rate are not well known, but it is estimated that 2.5% of all births in the United States are cesarean delivery on maternal request - ACOG
- Studies from England, Canada, and the US confirm very low rates of maternal request cesareans

Commonly Used Explanations for High C-Section Rate

- Mothers are getting older NO
- More multiples being born NO
- Babies are getting bigger NO
- Maternal health is worse: obesity, diabetes & hypertension - SOME
- Maternal request NO



Leading Indications for Cesareans



Source: ACOG & SMFM. Safe Prevention of Primary Cesarean Delivery. 3/2014.

Women have not changed nearly as much as practice patterns have

Cesarean Rates, Low Risk*, First-Time Mothers for Medical Risk Factors & Labor Complications Prolonged Labor Nonreassrg Fetal Trace 70 --- Macrosomia - Twins 60 50 40 30 2011 1990 1996 *Singleton, Vertex, Full Gestation Births

This is about culture of practice



The NTSV Initiative

C-sections account for more than 30 percent of births nationally and in N.J., with some NJ hospitals reporting rates of over 40 percent

NTSV C-Section births in New Jersey have increases from 23.5 percent in 1990 to **30.3** percent in 2016, far from the Healthy People 2020 target of 23.9 percent

- Initiative's goal:
 - Reduce NTSV C-Section births by 10 percent within 18 months
 - Improve safety culture and teamwork / communication between hospital staff
- Structure: Virtual collaborative, with content or coaching webinars
 First Monday of every other month

48 out of the 49 birthing hospitals have signed letters of participation



Two Areas of Focus

- Hospital-subgroup
 - The hospital stay, which includes policies promoting best practices to support vaginal birth, education for nursing staff on labor support skills, pain management, etc.
- Community-subgroup
 - The perinatal phase, which includes appropriate care for mom and baby; childbirth; and breastfeeding education to optimize patient and family engagement in education, informed consent and shared decision-making about normal healthy labor and birth throughout the maternity care cycle.



NTSV Initiative Timeline

| Tasks | Target |
|--|------------------|
| Kick off webinar – <u>Recording Link</u> | Feb 21, 2018 |
| NTSV Coaching Webinar | April 28, 2018 |
| Recruit team and identify senior leader; review baseline data. Team members review the NYSV C-section toolkit on the CMQCC site. | May. 1, 2018 |
| Complete initial chart audits; compile summary and submit to NJPQC in de-identified format. Perform 20 chart audits per month for three months. Designate an area for communication about this initiative (white board, bulletin board) and post baseline data for staff, medical staff and administration to review. | June. 1, 2018 |
| Using AHRQ tool, have all staff, including physicians, nurse midwives, residents, complete one. Submit de-identified copies to NJPQC for aggregation and feedback. | June 1, 2018 |
| Review all policies and procedures and make revisions as needed to ensure they support best practices in obstetrics, safely reduce routine interventions in low-risk women and consistently support vaginal birth. Also, develop processes to standardize responses to labor challenges to prevent cesarean. | July – Aug, 2018 |



NTSV Initiative Timeline (cont.)

| Tasks | Target |
|---|---------------|
| Educate staff on all policies and procedures. Make available webinars on CMQCC site at | Sept 2018 |
| https://www.cmqcc.org/resources-tool-kits/webinars | |
| Hold first meeting of your team to review audits and safety survey results. Define aim. Plan first PDSA | July 15, 2018 |
| cycle using worksheet. | |
| First face-to-face learning session | TBD |
| Monthly meeting of teams to review data, review monthly chart audits, internal data on C-sections, plan | ongoing |
| improvements or changes to plan using PDSA performance improvement strategies | |
| Using results of AHRQ survey, provide education to all staff on communication, teamwork, SBAR, safety | ongoing |
| culture. Arrange for TeamSTEPPS training if needed. | |
| Conference calls with NJPQC and AIM staff | As Needed |
| All Collaborative webinar (two hours) – every team prepares one slide with summary of activities to date, | TBD |
| progress, barriers – each team will have no more than two min. to present | IDD |
| Second face-to-face learning session | TBD |
| Continue work into 2019 | |

NTSV Webinar Recordings

NTSV C/S Initiative Kick-off webinar

February 21, 2018

Recording

NTSV C/S Coaching Webinar

April 24, 2018

Recording

Next webinar: June 25th – registration link coming soon



Contact Us

NJPQC Steering Committee

NTSV

Andrew Rubenstein, MD <u>Andrew.Rubenstein@hackensack</u> meridian.org

Robyn D'Oria MA, RNC, APN rdoria@cjfhc.org

NJPQC Data

Angela Centellas, MPH
Acentellas@njha.com

Suzanne Spernal, APN, MSN, RNC-OB, CBC
Suzanne.Spernal@rwjbh.org

NJ AIM

Kathy Mahoney, PhD, MSN, RNC-OB, APN,C.
<u>kmahoney@cjfhc.org</u>

The Labor RNs Study

Kathleen Rice Simpson Joanne Spetz Audrey Lyndon

> Audrey Lyndon LaborRNs@ucsf.edu 415-502-3504





Purpose



- To determine relationships between nursing care during labor and birth and patient outcomes:
 - Relationships between nurse-sensitive quality indicators, nurse staffing, and patient safety and quality in medical-surgical and critical care hospital settings are well established in the US, Canada, Europe, and Australia
 - The extent to which nurse staffing affects childbirth outcomes and the degree to which missed nursing care during labor and birth might affect complications, patient satisfaction, or health disparities is unknown
 - > Nurse staffing ratios for labor and birth are based on standards of care
 - There is a critical knowledge gap in understanding relationships between nurse staffing levels during labor and birth, nursing care provided during labor and birth, patient outcomes, and patient safety

Previous Research w/AWHONN

- Secondary analysis of 884 AWHONN members' responses to online survey about nurse staffing (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27234155</u>)
 - > Discovered numerous potential consequences of missed care during labor and birth
- Adapted an existing nursing care instrument using 11 focus groups of labor and delivery nurses (N=71) speaking about their contributions to patient outcomes and the consequences of missed care
 - Consequences included: fluid overload, negative birth experience, unplanned cesarean birth, maternal morbidity, and poor breastfeeding (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27557294</u>; <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27879502</u>)
- Further focus groups were conducted with new mothers (N=23) and physicians who attend births (N=9) regarding labor nurses and their contribution to patient outcomes – consensus on NTSV & breastfeeding as nurse-sensitive outcomes (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28428245)
- Field-tested survey in 40 hospitals in 3 states



What Will We Measure?



Confidential survey – we will know the facility where nurses work, but we will not be able to link personal information – survey distribution is separated from the responses, which are stored without identifiers

- > Nurse report:
 - How often aspects of nursing care are missed ("delayed, unfinished, or completely omitted") on their unit during labor and birth
 - > How frequently their unit meets the AWHONN recommended staffing guidelines
- Safety Climate scale; Burnout scale; Intention to leave within the next year
- Basic demographics (experience, age, education, race, ethnicity)
- > Aggregate nursing care measures to hospital level
- > Link hospital level measures of nursing care to publically available birth outcomes

We Need Your Help!



- Breaking new ground in evaluating the relationships between nurse staffing, missed nursing care, and patient outcomes
 - Determining how nursing care during labor and birth influences maternal and infant outcomes, including NTSV cesarean rate and exclusive breastfeeding rate
- Developing new evidence-based approaches to improve the quality and safety of maternity care
- Recruiting labor nurses from hospitals that provide obstetric care in 15 jurisdictions, including New Jersey our target is to include all New Jersey birth hospitals (except those that participated in previous field test)

Our Ask:



NURSE LEADERS

Host the study at your facility – we do all the data collection

Distribute the survey to nurses directly using email scripts and materials provided by LaborRNs team or provide the team email address list of labor and delivery nurse staff in your hospital

Answer 7 basic questions on facility staffing patterns

LABOR NURSES

Ask about hosting the study at your facility

Participate in the study when hosted:

- Distributed by email from LaborRNs or your nurse leader
- > 10-15 minute confidential online survey
- Option to enter a drawing for an Amazon gift card